

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/751,716	01/05/2004	Maarten Joost De Mol Van Otterloo	03530.000004.	2259		
5514	7590 07/10/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
	TZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO			PAIK, STEVE S		
NEW YORK	ELLER PLAZA . NY 10112		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
. 2			2876			
			DATE MAILED: 07/10/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s) DE MOL VAN OTTERLOO, MAARTEN JOOST		
10/751,716			
Examiner	Art Unit		
Steven S. Paik	2876		

	Steven S. Paik		2876	
	-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover	sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE R	EPLY FILED 26 June 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CO		-	
1. ⊠ T th p a	the reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as nis application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) ar laces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.7 me periods:	filing a Notice of amendment, aff th appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
	The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date of the final rejection	٦.		
b) [_	 the date set forth HS from the mailing 	g date of the final rejection	on.
	TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).			
have be under 3 set forth may red	ons of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition are filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the correct 7 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory pain (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months alone any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). E OF APPEAL	esponding amount period for reply orig	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as
fi a	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CF ling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time per DMENTS	CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
		to of filing a briaf	will not be entered by	acausa
	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the da a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or			ecause
-	b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	search (see NO	TE Delow),	
•	c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appearappeal; and/or	al by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(0	d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding nu	mber of finally rej	ected claims.	
	NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. 🔲 -	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached	Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. 🔲 /	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
n	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted on-allowable claim(s).			
h T	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be ent now the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or application of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		Il be entered and an e	explanation of
	Claim(s) allowed: <u>1-28 and 32-39</u> . Claim(s) objected to:			
	Claim(s) rejected: 29-31.			
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFID/	AVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
_ b	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the ecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasor as not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. 🔲 T e	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appearance because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> reject howing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not ear	tions under appe	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of	•		
	EST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	and Gairns and G	io bolow of allaof	
11. 🛛	The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place See Continuation Sheet.	the application i	n condition for allowar	nce because:
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PT	ГО-1449) Paper N	No(s)	
13. 🔲	Other:			
			Stevents: Paik Primary Examiner	
			Art Unit: 2876	

Application No.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In response to applicant's argument of claims 29-31that the examiner's conclusion of obviousness is based upon improper hindsight reasoning, it must be recognized that any judgment on obviousness is in a sense necessarily a reconstruction based upon hindsight reasoning. But so long as it takes into account only knowledge which was within the level of ordinary skill at the time the claimed invention was made, and does not include knowledge gleaned only from the applicant's disclosure, such a reconstruction is proper. See In re McLaughlin, 443 F.2d 1392, 170 USPQ 209 (CCPA 1971).